WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

ne:_	ne: 2:30 pm Weather Conditions: 500				
-,i		Yes	No	Notes	
CRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		g All Canadornia et protesta a translati della di la canada	
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X		
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	Anniamentalii Alvii illi	
RF	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	4))			
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X			
5.,	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X	indenija adjujudana	nder erregijd dat separatus dit is de een een een een een een een een een	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		مر		
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	utiju kuninini i i i inata a si	k	Maria da ma	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	The same of TREE States of the	
) <u>,</u>	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X			
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	Į.	X	estitutionis, 20,4 times estituis mannais ilm terminari ilm terminari ilm terminari ilm terminari e	
L.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		10		